	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/797,038	MORI, HIDETO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kamal A. Saeed	1626
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kamal A. Saeed</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael K. Mutter</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2006	Time: <u>11:00am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-12		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In claims 2-12, DELETE the term "producing" appearing in line 1 of each said claim. This is a non-narrowing amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		W
It also land		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		